This article was downloaded by:

On: 27 January 2011

Access details: Access Details: Free Access

Publisher Taylor & Francis

Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-

41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



# Nucleosides, Nucleotides and Nucleic Acids

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713597286

## 1,4:3,6-Dianhydrohexitol Nucleosides

Peter Stossa; Elmar Kaesa

<sup>a</sup> Chemical Research and Development, Heinrich Mack Nachf., Chem. Pharm. Fabrik, Illertissen, West Germany

To cite this Article Stoss, Peter and Kaes, Elmar (1988) '1,4:3,6-Dianhydrohexitol Nucleosides', Nucleosides, Nucleotides and Nucleic Acids, 7: 2, 213 - 225

To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/07328318808070205 URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/07328318808070205

## PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

#### 1,4:3,6-DIANHYDROHEXITOL NUCLEOSIDES

Peter Stoss\* and Elmar Kaes

Chemical Research and Development, Heinrich Mack Nachf., Chem. Pharm. Fabrik, P.O.Box 2064, D-7918 Illertissen, West Germany

#### Abstract:

Novel types of nucleoside analogues, being potential anticancer and antiviral candidates and exhibiting a 1,4:3,6-dianhydrohexitol moiety as the carbohydrate part of the molecule, are described. The synthesis has been performed by reacting the appropriate chloromethylated, protected dianhydrohexitol with silylated bases.

Amongst antiviral and anticancer agents, structures related to naturally occurring nucleosides play an important role. In addition to the well-known and therapeutically useful compounds, e.g. Ara-A, Ara-C, TFT, Azacytidine and others, there are some newer types, such as BVDU, FIAC, FMAU, Ribavirin, Tiazofurin etc. which are potential candidates for the future or which have already been introduced into the market. It is obvious from these few examples as well as from the fast growing literature that this class of compounds is subject of current interest.

Numerous attempts have been directed towards increasing the potency and simultaneously lowering the side effects by enhancing the selectivity for infected cells as opposed to normal cells. To achieve this, modifications of the nucleobases as well as variations of the sugar part of the molecule have been widely investigated 1. Different heterocyclic systems, substituted derivatives of nucleosides, replacement of ribose and deoxyribose by other carbohydrates, tetrahydrofuryl and carbocyclic rings and also C-nucleosides have been taken into consideration 2-4. The most

promising newer analogues for antiviral chemotherapy belong to a group with an open chained sugar moiety, for which the term acyclonucleosides<sup>5,6</sup> is commonly used. One of these, Acyclovir<sup>7</sup> was introduced as a drug in 1982, another, DHPG (Biolf-62)<sup>8-11</sup> is presently under clinical development. Furthermore DHPA<sup>12</sup> is the subject of intensive investigation.

The activity in this area has been stimulated by the success of the above mentioned efforts and will certainly generate further novel structures with superior properties. As a major challenge, higher selective toxicity for virus and cancer cells should be achieved. However, suitable stability against metabolic degradation and the ability of cellular penetration is also a necessary requirement for the achievement of therapeutical success.

To the best of our knowledge, no attempt has been undertaken until now to incorporate a dianhydro sugar derivative into a nucleoside molecule. We therefore started to investigate this possibility. In this first approach we would like to present our results of the pyrimidine series, including some other one-ring heterocycles.

The ring system of the 1,4:3,6-dianhydrohexitols, used in this study, consists of two cis-fused tetrahydrofurane rings, forming a V-shaped molecule with a 120° angle. Thus for both the OH-substituents, attached at the 2- and 5-position of the bicyclic ring system, endo/exo configurations are possible. Our approach includes the 1,4:3,6-dianhydro-D-glucitol (isosorbide, 2-exo, 5-endo), -D-mannitol (isomannide, 2- and 5-endo) and -L-iditol (isoidide, 2- and 5-exo) systems. Like the carbohydrates, incorporated in common nucleosides, the dianhydrohexitols exhibit chiral properties. In contrast to the naturally occuring carbohydrate-linked nucleosides and the acyclonucleosides, the ring system presented here is more bulky and rigid. It still contains one free hydroxyl group, which possibly could be phosphorylated in the organism. The influence of these structural modifications on biological properties is presently being investigated.

The synthesis of the target molecules started with monoacylated or monobenzylated 1,4:3,6-dianhydrohexitols  $\underline{1}^{13}$  and proceeded via chloromethylation to the novel intermediates  $\underline{2}$  (Table 2). The glycosidation step was performed by reacting  $\underline{2}$  with the appropriate silylated bases,

TABLE 1 Nucleoba	ases B	Compoi in Tal	und No. ble 4		Subst:	ituents R <sup>2</sup>	R <sup>3</sup>
Uracils	$HN \longrightarrow R^1$	3	10	a	Н		
	ONI			ъ	сн3		
Cytosines	NH2 R	4	11	с	<sup>C</sup> 2 <sup>H</sup> 5		
	o N	}	!	d	сн <sub>2</sub> сн	(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	
Isocytosines	0 11 R	<u>5</u>	12	e	<sup>C</sup> 6 <sup>H</sup> 13		
2	H <sub>2</sub> N N			f	сн <sub>2</sub> он		
	он			g	CH=CH	2 <sup>Br</sup>	
Pyridine		6	13	h	F		
	o N			i	C1		
5-Azacytosine	NH2 N N	<u>7</u>	14	k	Br		
	ر آ	-	<del></del>	1	I		
	CONT			m	CF <sub>3</sub>		
Imidazole	N CONH <sub>2</sub>	<u>8</u>	<u>15</u>	n		Н	соосн
	1 2			0		Н	CONH <sub>2</sub>
Triazoles	$N = \mathbb{R}^3$	<u>9</u>	16	P		COOCH <sub>3</sub>	Н
	N N N			q		CONH <sub>2</sub>	Н

using conventional conditions. Products 3-9 (Table 3) were subsequently deprotected, in the case of x = acyl by sodium methylate/methanol or basic ion exchange resins, and when x = benzyl, by catalytic hydrogenation. O-acylated methoxycarbonyl-triazoles (9 n, 9 p) were converted to the corresponding carbamoyl derivatives and deprotected simultaneously by reacting with ammonia in methanol, yielding 16 o, 16 q.

Compounds 10-16 (Table 4), which we conveniently and trivially refer to as "isohexide nucleosides" instead of the more precise name given in the title of this paper, were fully characterized by elemental analysis, IR, <sup>1</sup>H-NMR and MS. An analogue structural assignment was performed for all the intermediates.

The following nucleobases B have been incorporated into this investigation (Table 1):

Compounds 10-16 were tested for anticancer properties and found to be inactive  $^{14}$ . Potential antiviral activities are presently under evaluation.

#### EXPERIMENTAL

The following abbreviations are used in the tables: Ring systems: G: 1,4:3,6-Dianhydro-D-glucitol; M: 1,4:3,6-Dianhydro-D-mannitol; I: 1,4: 3,6-Dianhydro-L-iditol; Solvents: MeOH-Methanol; EtOH-Ethanol; 2-PrOH-2-Propanol; EtOAc-Ethylacetate; Et $_2$ O-Diethylether; Hex-n-Hexane. TLC was performed on Sil G-25 UV $_{254}$  (Macherey-Nagel) plates using CHCl $_3$ /MeOH 9:1 (v/v) or EtOAc as solvent systems.

5(2)-0-Protected-2(5)-0-chloromethyl-1,4:3,6-dianhydrohexitols (General Method).

A suspension of the appropriate 0-acylated <sup>13</sup> or 0-benzylated <sup>15</sup> 1,4:3,6-dianhydrohexitol 1 (0,25 mol) and 15 g paraformaldehyde in 120 ml CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> was chilled to 0° C and saturated at this temperature with gaseous HCl. The resulting solution was kept for 15-20 hrs. at 0-5° C. The water formed was separated and the organic phase was dried over CaCl<sub>2</sub>. Filtration and evaporation i.vac. afforded a syrup in nearly quantitative yield, which was used immediately in the next step. Normally no attempts were undertaken to purify the material. In some cases it was possible to recrystallize the product from a suitable solvent (Table 2). 2(5)-0-(Pyrimidin-1-yl-methyl)-5(2)-0-protected-1,4:3,6-dianhydrohexitols and related pyridine-, imidazole- and triazole-derivatives 3-9 (General Method).

A solution of 5(2)-O-protected-2(5)-O-chloromethyl-1,4:3,6-dianhydro-hexitol  $\underline{2}$  (0,05 mol) and an equimolar amount of the appropriate trimethyl silylated nucleobase was stirred at room temperature in 50 ml CHCl $_3$  until complete reaction was indicated by TLC (between 1 and 20 hrs.). The mixture was evaporated i.vac. and the remaining syrup was taken up in 100 ml CH $_2$ Cl $_2$ . After adding 100 ml of saturated aqueous NaHCO $_3$  solution the system was vigorously stirred until evolution of gas had ceased. The organic layer was separated, dried over MgSO $_4$ , filtered and evaporated. The syrupy residue was crystallized from an appropriate solvent or reacted directly in the next synthetic step (Table 3).

 $2(5) \sim 0$ -(Pyrimidin-1-yl-methyl)-1,4:3,6-dianhydrohexitols and related pyridine-, imidazole- and triazole-derivatives 10-16 (General Method). The 0-acylated compound 3-9 (0,03 mol) was suspended in 150 ml MeOH and 9 ml of a 30% sodium methylate solution in MeOH was added. The mixture was stirred at room temperature until TLC indicated complete conversion (30 min - 2,5 hrs.) and subsequently neutralized by the addition of 90 ml Amberlite IR-120 (H<sup>+</sup>-form, methanol wet). The ion exchange resin was filtered off, the filtrate was evaporated and the residue crystallized from a suitable solvent (Table 4).

5-0-(Uracil-1-yl-methyl)-1,4:3,6-dianhydroglucitol(10 a)

TABLE 2 5(2)-0-Protected-2(5)-0-chloromethyl-1,4:3,6-diamhydrohexitols  $\underline{2}$ 

Compound No.	Ring- system	X	Position OX	Molecular Formula MW	MP °C Solvent	[\alpha]_D^{20} c = 2, CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>
2.1	G	н <sub>3</sub> с-⁄_>со	endo	<sup>C</sup> 15 <sup>H</sup> 17 <sup>C10</sup> 5	85-7 CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> /Hex	+ 63,0
2.2	G	~Co	endo	C <sub>14</sub> H <sub>14</sub> C1NO <sub>7</sub>	Syrup	
2.3	G	C1-()-C0	endo	C <sub>14</sub> H <sub>14</sub> C1 <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	66-9 CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> /Hex	+ 35,0
2.4	G	с <sub>6</sub> н <sub>5</sub> -со	endo	C <sub>14</sub> H <sub>15</sub> ClO <sub>5</sub> 298,7	Syrup	
2.5	G	H <sub>3</sub> C-CO	exo	C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>17</sub> ClO <sub>5</sub> 312,8	100-2 CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> /Hex	+ 157,0
2.6	G	H <sub>3</sub> C-CO	exo	С <sub>9</sub> Н <sub>13</sub> С1О <sub>5</sub> 236,7	Syrup	
2.7	G	с <sub>6</sub> н <sub>5</sub> -сн <sub>2</sub>	exo	C <sub>14</sub> H <sub>17</sub> C <sub>10</sub> 4 284,7	Syrup	
2.8	М	c <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> -co	endo	C <sub>14</sub> H <sub>15</sub> ClO <sub>5</sub> 298,7	Syrup	
2.9	I	c <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> -co	exo	C <sub>14</sub> H <sub>15</sub> ClO <sub>5</sub> 298,7	Syrup	

TABLE 3 5(2)-0-Protected-2(5)-B-substituted 1,4:3,6-dianhydro-hexitols 3-9

	hexito	1s <u>3-9</u>				
Compound No.	Ring- system	X Position OX	Molecular Formula MW	MP °C Solvent	[a] <sup>20</sup> c/Solvent	Yield %
3.1 a	G	4-Toluyl	С <sub>19</sub> Н <sub>20</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>7</sub>	134	+ 59,8	65,4
	)	endo	388,4	MeOH	2, CH <sub>2</sub> C1 <sub>2</sub>	
3.7 a	G	Benzy1	C <sub>18</sub> H <sub>20</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>6</sub>	Oil	+ 58,0	71,0
;		exo	360,4		2, CH <sub>2</sub> C1 <sub>2</sub>	
3.2 b	G	2-Nitro-	С <sub>19</sub> Н <sub>19</sub> N <sub>3</sub> O <sub>9</sub>	169-70	+ 30,3	78,4
	}	benzoyl	433,4	EtOH	2, CH <sub>2</sub> C1 <sub>2</sub>	
		endo			*	-0.5
$\frac{3.3 \text{ b}}{}$	G	4-Chlor- benzoyl	C <sub>19</sub> H <sub>19</sub> ClN <sub>2</sub> O <sub>7</sub>	75-80	+ 51,0	72,5
		endo	422,8	chromat.	2, CH <sub>2</sub> C1 <sub>2</sub>	
3.5 b	G	4-Toluyl	C <sub>20</sub> H <sub>22</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>7</sub>	Syrup		47,2
3.3		exo	402,4	-7F		, ,
3.8 b	M	Benzoyl	C <sub>19</sub> H <sub>20</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>7</sub>	Syrup		
		endo	388,4	- J <b>r</b>		
3.9 b	1	Benzoyl	с <sub>19</sub> н <sub>20</sub> n <sub>2</sub> о <sub>7</sub>	Syrup		
3.13		exo	19 <sup>-20</sup> 2 7 388,4	-,		
3.1 c	G	4-Toluyl	C <sub>21</sub> H <sub>24</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>7</sub>	134	+ 68,0	64,6
311 0		endo	21 24 2 7 416,4	MeOH	2, CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	
3.1 d	G	4-Toluyl	с <sub>23</sub> н <sub>28</sub> N <sub>2</sub> О <sub>7</sub>	169~70	+ 45,3	77,8
		endo	23 28 2 7 444,5	MeOH/H <sub>2</sub> O	2, CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	, .
3.1 e	G	4-Toluyl	C <sub>25</sub> H <sub>32</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>7</sub>	104-6	+ 48.0	80,5
31, 5	Ŭ	endo	472,5	Et <sub>2</sub> O	2, CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	00,5
3.1 f	G	4-Toluyl	C <sub>20</sub> H <sub>22</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>8</sub>	192~3	+ 49,3	62,1
3		endo	20 <sup>-22</sup> -2 <sup>-2</sup> 8 418,4	MeOH/H <sub>2</sub> O	2, DMF	02,
3.1 g	G	4-Toluyl	C <sub>21</sub> H <sub>21</sub> BrN <sub>2</sub> O <sub>7</sub>	117~20	+ 42,0	56,8
3		endo	493.3	MeOH	1, CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	33,0
3.1 h	G	4-Toluyl	C <sub>19</sub> H <sub>19</sub> FN <sub>2</sub> O <sub>7</sub>	Foam	, on <sub>2</sub> or <sub>2</sub>	90,1
] <del></del>		endo	406,4	_ Jum		,,,,
3.1 k	G	4-Toluyl	C <sub>19</sub> H <sub>19</sub> BrN <sub>2</sub> O <sub>7</sub>	Foam		quant.
		endo	467,3	. Oun		quant.
[	l		707,5		1 (	1

(continued)

TABLE 3 (continued)

TABLE 3	(continu	ied)			20	
No.	Ring- system	X Position OX	Molecular Formula MW	MP °C Solvent	[a] 20 c/Solvent	Yield %
3.1 1	G	4-Toluyl endo	C <sub>19</sub> H <sub>19</sub> IN <sub>2</sub> O <sub>7</sub> 514,3	Amorphous		92,6
3.5 1	G	4-Toluyl	C <sub>19</sub> H <sub>19</sub> IN <sub>2</sub> O <sub>7</sub> 514,3	Amorphous		98,4
3.6 1	G	Acetyl exo	C <sub>13</sub> H <sub>15</sub> IN <sub>2</sub> O <sub>7</sub> 438,2	Foam		89,0
3.8 1	м	Benzoyl endo	C <sub>18</sub> H <sub>17</sub> IN <sub>2</sub> O <sub>7</sub> 500,3	150-2 Toluene	+ 110,8 2, CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	42,8
3.9 1	I	Benzoyl	C <sub>18</sub> H <sub>17</sub> IN <sub>2</sub> O <sub>7</sub> 500,3	80-5 2-PrOH	+ 33,8 2, CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	78,2
3.1 m	G	4-Toluyl	C <sub>20</sub> H <sub>19</sub> F <sub>3</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>7</sub> 456,4	Foam	2 2	99,2
4.1 a	G	4-Toluyl	C <sub>19</sub> H <sub>21</sub> N <sub>3</sub> O <sub>6</sub> 387,4	214-5(dec.) MeOH/H <sub>2</sub> O	+ 68,5	43,7
4.1 h	G	4-Toluyl	C <sub>19</sub> H <sub>20</sub> FN <sub>3</sub> O <sub>6</sub>	237,5-8 MeOH	+ 56,0 2, DMF	61,2
4.4 i	G	Benzoy1	C <sub>18</sub> H <sub>18</sub> ClN <sub>3</sub> O <sub>6</sub>	199-201 2-PrOH	+ 62,0	22,6
4.1 1	G	4-Toluyl	C <sub>19</sub> H <sub>20</sub> IN <sub>3</sub> O <sub>6</sub>	190-1(dec.) MeOH		44,0
5.1 a	G	4-Toluyl	C <sub>19</sub> H <sub>21</sub> N <sub>3</sub> O <sub>6</sub> 387,4	223-5(dec.) MeOH		26,9
5.1 b	G	4-Toluyl	C <sub>20</sub> H <sub>23</sub> N <sub>3</sub> O <sub>6</sub> 401,4	165-6 MeOH	+ 56,5 2, DMF	45.6
6.1	G	4-Toluyl	C <sub>20</sub> <sup>H</sup> 21 <sup>NO</sup> 7 387,4	100-1(dec.)	1	54,4
7.1	G	4-Toluyl	C <sub>18</sub> H <sub>20</sub> N <sub>4</sub> O <sub>6</sub> 388,4	212-3 MeOH	+ 65,0 1, CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	16,5
7.5	G	4-Toluyl	C <sub>18</sub> H <sub>20</sub> N <sub>4</sub> O <sub>6</sub> 388,4	215-8 2-PrOH	+ 56,0 0,5,CH <sub>2</sub> C1 <sub>2</sub>	13,4

TABLE 3 (continued)

Compound No.	Ring- system	X Position OX	Molecular Formula MW	MP °C Solvent	[a] 20 c/Solvent	Yield %
8.1	G	4-Toluyl	C <sub>19</sub> H <sub>22</sub> N <sub>4</sub> O <sub>6</sub>	142-3	+ 34,5	14,2
		endo	402,4	МеОН/Н <sub>2</sub> О	1, CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	
9.1 n	G	4-Toluyl	С <sub>19</sub> <sup>Н</sup> 21 <sup>N</sup> 3 <sup>О</sup> 7	138-9	+ 53,0	24,6
		endo	403,4	MeOH	2, CH <sub>2</sub> C1	
9.1 p	G	4-Toluyl	C <sub>19</sub> H <sub>21</sub> N <sub>3</sub> O <sub>7</sub>	110	+ 48,0	19,3
		endo	403,4	МеОН	2, CH <sub>2</sub> C1 <sub>2</sub>	
9.5 n	G	4-Toluyl	C <sub>19</sub> H <sub>21</sub> N <sub>3</sub> O <sub>7</sub>	88-9	+ 113,0	40,0
		exo	403,4	MeOH	2, CH <sub>2</sub> C1 <sub>2</sub>	
9.5 p	G	4-Toluyl	C <sub>19</sub> H <sub>21</sub> N <sub>3</sub> O <sub>7</sub>	0 <b>i</b> 1		21,8
		exo	403,4			
9.8 n	м	Benzoyl	C <sub>18</sub> H <sub>19</sub> N <sub>3</sub> O <sub>7</sub>	125-6	+ 113,8	20,8
		endo	389,4	EtOH	2, CH <sub>2</sub> C1 <sub>2</sub>	
9.8 p	М	Benzoyl	C <sub>18</sub> H <sub>19</sub> N <sub>3</sub> O <sub>7</sub>	0i1	+ 98,5	31,9
		endo	389,4		2, CH <sub>2</sub> C1 <sub>2</sub>	
9.9 n	I	Benzoyl	С <sub>18</sub> Н <sub>19</sub> N <sub>3</sub> O <sub>7</sub>	0i1	+ 17,3	36,8
		exo	389,4		2, CH <sub>2</sub> C1 <sub>2</sub>	
9.9 p	Ι	Benzoyl	С <sub>18</sub> Н <sub>19</sub> N <sub>3</sub> O <sub>7</sub>	90-90,5	+ 44,8	18,5
		ехо	389,4	Et <sub>2</sub> O	2, CH <sub>2</sub> C1 <sub>2</sub>	

TABLE 4 1,4:3,6-Dianhydro-hexitol nucleosides 10-16

Compound No.	Ring- system	Position OH	Molecular Formula MW	MP °C Solvent	[a] $^{20}_{D}$ c/Solvent	Yield %
10 a	G	endo	C <sub>11</sub> H <sub>14</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>6</sub> 270,2	161 MeOH	+ 41,0	75,3
10 a	G	exo	C <sub>11</sub> H <sub>14</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>6</sub> 270,2	136-7 MeOH	+ 65,0 2, H <sub>2</sub> 0	40,2
10 ь	G	endo	C <sub>12</sub> H <sub>16</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>6</sub> 284,3	132-3 MeOH	+ 39,0 1, н <sub>2</sub> 0	56,1
10 ь	G	exo	C <sub>12</sub> H <sub>16</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>6</sub> 284,3	48-55 <sup>a)</sup> lyophylized	1, H <sub>2</sub> O + 69,5 <sup>a</sup> ) 1, H <sub>2</sub> O	19,4

(continued)

TABLE 4 (continued)

FABLE 4	(continu	ied)				
Compound No.	Ring- system	Position OH	Molecular Formula MW	MP °C Solvent	[a] <sub>D</sub> <sup>20</sup> c/Solvent	Yield %
10 ь	М	endo	C <sub>12</sub> H <sub>16</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>6</sub>		+ 92,0	38,1
<u>10 b</u>	I	exo	284,3 C <sub>12</sub> H <sub>16</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>6</sub>	165,5-166,5		59,5
10 c	G	endo	284,3 C <sub>13</sub> H <sub>18</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>6</sub>	EtOH 48-60 <sup>a)</sup>	1, H <sub>2</sub> 0 + 38,0 <sup>a</sup> )	65,1
<u>10 d</u>	G	endo	298,3 C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>22</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>6</sub>		+ 34,5 <sup>b)</sup>	53,6
<u>10 e</u>	G	endo	326,4 C <sub>17</sub> H <sub>26</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>6</sub>		+ 29,5 <sup>a</sup> )	24,6
10 f	G	endo	354,4 C <sub>12</sub> H <sub>16</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>7</sub>	177-8	2, CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> + 36,5	47,5
10 g	G	endo	300,3 C <sub>13</sub> H <sub>15</sub> BrN <sub>2</sub> O <sub>6</sub>	141-141,5	1, H <sub>2</sub> 0 + 34,5	43,4
10 h	G	endo	375,2 C <sub>11</sub> H <sub>13</sub> FN <sub>2</sub> O <sub>6</sub>		0,33, н <sub>2</sub> 0 + 38,0	23,1
10 k	G	endo	288,2 C <sub>11</sub> H <sub>13</sub> BrN <sub>2</sub> O <sub>6</sub>	lyophylized 169	1, H <sub>2</sub> O + 35,5	81,7
10 1	G	endo	349,2 C <sub>11</sub> H <sub>13</sub> IN <sub>2</sub> O <sub>6</sub>	МеОН/Н <sub>2</sub> О 148-9	1, H <sub>2</sub> 0 + 30,0	48,7
10 1	G	exo	396,2 C <sub>11</sub> H <sub>13</sub> IN <sub>2</sub> O <sub>6</sub>	МеОН 169-70	1, H <sub>2</sub> 0 + 52,0	32,8
10 1	I	ехо	396,2 C <sub>11</sub> H <sub>13</sub> IN <sub>2</sub> O <sub>6</sub>	H <sub>2</sub> 0 115-7 <sup>c</sup> )	1, H <sub>2</sub> 0 + 22,5 <sup>c</sup> )	57,6
10 1	М	endo	396, 2 C <sub>11</sub> H <sub>13</sub> IN <sub>2</sub> O <sub>6</sub>	H <sub>2</sub> O/MeOH 60-80	1, MeOH + 62,5	66,7
10 m	G	endo	396,2 C <sub>12</sub> H <sub>13</sub> F <sub>3</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>6</sub>	lyophylized 155-8	2, H <sub>2</sub> 0 + 55,0	28,4
11 a	G	endo	338,3 C <sub>11</sub> H <sub>15</sub> N <sub>3</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> 255-6(dec.	1, MeOH + 47,5	74,1
	ļ		269,3	MeOH/H <sub>2</sub> O	i	

TABLE 4	(continu	ıed)			20	
Compound No.	Ring- system	Position OH	Molecular Formula MW	MP °C Solvent	[a] <sup>20</sup> c/Solvent	Yield %
<u>11 h</u>	G	endo	<sup>C</sup> 11 <sup>H</sup> 14 <sup>FN</sup> 3 <sup>O</sup> 5 287,3	250-1(dec.) MeOH/H <sub>2</sub> O	+ 39,5	54,6
11 i	G	endo	C <sub>11</sub> H <sub>14</sub> ClN <sub>3</sub> O <sub>5</sub> 303,7	214 EtOH	+ 49,5	50,7
11 1	G	endo	C <sub>11</sub> H <sub>14</sub> IN <sub>3</sub> O <sub>5</sub> 395,2		+ 32,0 1, H <sub>2</sub> 0	54,4
12 a	G	endo	C <sub>11</sub> H <sub>15</sub> N <sub>3</sub> O <sub>5</sub> 269,3	192-3(dec.) EtOH	+ 45,5	63,9
12 b	G	endo	C <sub>12</sub> H <sub>17</sub> N <sub>3</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	197-8	1, H <sub>2</sub> 0 + 42,5	48,1
13	G	endo	283,3 C <sub>12</sub> H <sub>15</sub> NO <sub>6</sub>	MeOH 178-9	1, H <sub>2</sub> 0 + 43,5	60,2
14	G	endo	269,3 C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>14</sub> N <sub>4</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	195	1, H <sub>2</sub> 0 + 41,8	62,9
14	G	exo	270,3 C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>14</sub> N <sub>4</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	MeOH 160-2	2, H <sub>2</sub> O + 60,0	49,7
15	G	endo	270,3 C <sub>11</sub> H <sub>16</sub> N <sub>4</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	130-2	1, H <sub>2</sub> 0 + 36,5	40,4
16 n	G	endo	284,3 C <sub>11</sub> H <sub>15</sub> N <sub>3</sub> O <sub>6</sub>	2-PrOH 109-10	1, H <sub>2</sub> 0 + 40,5	45,9
16 0	G	endo	285,3 C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>14</sub> N <sub>4</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	MeOH/Et <sub>2</sub> O 173	1, H <sub>2</sub> 0 + 38,0	69,0
<u>16 o</u>	G	exo	270,3 C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>14</sub> N <sub>4</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	МеОН/Н <sub>2</sub> О 145-7	1, H <sub>2</sub> 0 + 80,0	50,6
1 <u>6 o</u>	М	endo	270,3 C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>14</sub> N <sub>4</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	MeOH 135,5-137,5		78,8
<u>16 o</u>	I	exo	270,3 C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>14</sub> N <sub>4</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	EtOH 189-91	2, H <sub>2</sub> 0 + 7,0	54,6
16 p	G	endo	270,3 C <sub>11</sub> H <sub>15</sub> N <sub>3</sub> O <sub>6</sub>	МеОН 106	1, H <sub>2</sub> 0 + 37,5	53,0
			285,3	MeOH/Et <sub>2</sub> O	1, H <sub>2</sub> O	

(continued)

Compound No.	Ring- system	Position OH	Molecular Formula MW	MP °C Solvent	[a] 20 c/Solvent	Yield %	
16 q	G	endo	C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>14</sub> N <sub>4</sub> O <sub>5</sub> 270,3	158-9 МеОН/Н <sub>2</sub> О	+ 41,0 1, H <sub>2</sub> 0	65,7	
16 q	G	exo	<sup>C</sup> 10 <sup>H</sup> 14 <sup>N</sup> 4 <sup>O</sup> 5 270,3	132-3 MeOH	+ 72,0 1, H <sub>2</sub> O	64,8	
16 q	М	endo	<sup>C</sup> 10 <sup>H</sup> 14 <sup>N</sup> 4 <sup>O</sup> 5 270,3	144-5 MeOH	+ 93,5 2, H <sub>2</sub> O	49,8	
16 q	I	exo	C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>14</sub> N <sub>4</sub> O <sub>5</sub> 270,3	126-126,5 EtOH	+ 16,5 2, H <sub>2</sub> 0	63,8	
a) · 0,5 H <sub>2</sub> O, hygroscopic; b) · 0,25 H <sub>2</sub> O hygroscopic; c) · H <sub>2</sub> O							

A solution of 11 g 2-0-benzyl-5-0-(uracil-1-yl-methyl)-1,4:3,6-dianhydro-glucitol (3.7 a) in 150 ml MeOH was mixed with 2 g of 5% palladium on charcoal and hydrogenated until the uptake of hydrogen had ceased. The catalyst was filtered off and the solution was evaporated to dryness. The residue was recrystallized (Table 4).

2-0-(3-Carbamoyl-1,2,4-triazol-1-yl-methyl)-1,4:3,6-dianhydroglucitol (16 o)

7,5 g gaseous  $\mathrm{NH}_3$  was bubbled into a suspension of 8 g 2-0-(3-methoxy-carbonyl-1,2,4-triazol-1-yl-methyl)-5-0-(4-toluyl)-1,4:3,6-dianhydro-glucitol (9.1 n) in 60 ml MeOH at 20-25° C, whereby solution occurred. After standing for 30 hrs. a precipitate had formed, which was filtered off and re-crystallized. (Table 4).

### REFERENCES

- Nucleoside Analogues, R.T. Walker, E. De Clercq, F. Eckstein Editors, Plenum Press, New York 1979.
- U. Hacksell and G.D. Daves Jr., in "Progress in Medicinal Chemistry", Vol. 22, G.P. Ellis and G.B. West Editors, Elsevier, Amsterdam 1985, p. 1 ff.

- E. De Clercq, Synthetic pyrimidine nucleoside analogues, in "Approaches to Antiviral Agents", M.R. Harnden Editor, VCH Publishers, Weinheim, 1985, p.57 ff.
- A. Holy, Synthetic purine nucleoside analogues, in "Approaches to Antiviral Agents", M.R. Harnden Editor, VCH Publishers, Weinheim, 1985, p. 101 ff.
- 5. C.K. Chu and S.J. Cutler, J. Heterocyclic Chem. 23, 289 (1986).
- 6. R.J. Remy and J.A. Secrist III, Nucleosides & Nucleotides 4, 411 (1985)
- 7. G.B. Elion, P.A. Furman, J.A. Fyfe, P. De Miranda, L. Beauchamp and H.J. Schaeffer, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 74, 5716 (1977).
- 8. K.K. Ogilvie, U.O. Cheriyan, B.K. Radatus, K.O. Smith, K.S. Galloway and W.L. Kennell, Can. J. Chem. 60, 3005 (1982).
- 9. W.T. Ashton, J.D. Karkas, A.K. Field and R.T. Tolman, Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 108, 1716 (1982).
- 10. J.C. Martin, C.A. Dvorak, D.F. Smee, T.R. Matthews and J.P.H. Verheyden, J. Med. Chem. 26, 759 (1983).
- 11. H.J. Schaeffer in "Nucleosides, Nucleotides, and their Biological Applications", J.L. Rideout, D.W. Henry and L.M. Beacham Editors, Academic Press, New York, 1983, p. 1~17.
- 12. E. De Clercq, J. Descamps, P. De Somer and A. Holy, Science 200, 563 (1978).
- 13. P. Stoss, P. Merrath and G. Schlüter, Synthesis 1987, 174.
- 14. We greatfully acknowledge the screening performed under the auspices of the Development Therapeutics Program, Division of Cancer Treatment, National Cancer Insitute, Bethesda, Maryland.
- 15. ICI (Inventors J.W. Le Maistre, T.P. Mori) US-Patent 4 169 152 (Sept. 25, 1979); C.A. 92, 94676 (1980).

Received April 28, 1987